US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Shaughnessy Number: 122804

Date out of EAB: AUG | 8 1988

Product Manager 15 Registration Division (TS 767C) Paul Mastradone, Ph.D. FROM: Acting Chief, Review Section 1/EAB/HED (TS769-C) Jane F- marke THRU: Paul F. Schuda, Chief Exposure Assessment Branch/HED (TS 769C) Attached, please find the EAB review of ... Reg./File #: 618-OT Chemical Name: Avermectin Type Product: Insecticide Company Name: Merck Purpose: Field dissipation and crop rotation data requirements. Date Received 6/27/88 Action Code: 181

EAB #(s): 80853

Monitoring Study Requested: Total Reviewing Time: 3 Days

Monitoring Study Volunteered:

Date Completed:

GEORGE LAROCCA

:OT

Deferrals to: Ecological Effects Branch

X Residue Chemistry Branch

X Toxicology Branch

- 1. CHEMICAL: AVERMECTIN
- 2. TEST MATERIAL: 0.15 EC Formulation.
- STUDY/ACTION TYPE: Registration for use on citrus and cotton. 3.
- STUDY IDENTIFICATION: Accession 406650-01. 4. Response to EAB field dissipation and confined crop rotation data review.

5. REVIEWED BY:

Typed Name:

A. ABRAMOVITCH, Ph.D.

Title:

Chemist, Review Section 1

EAB/HED/OPP Organization:

APPROVED BY: 6.

Typed Name:

P. MASTRADONE, Ph.D.

Title:

Acting Chief, Review Section 1

Date:

Organization:

EAB/HED/OPP

Signature:

7. CONCLUSIONS:

Crop rotation:

EAB cannot accept the registrant's argument that a field crop rotation study is not warranted based on confined accumulation of 10 ppb. Also, the registrant should identify the accumulated residues in the confined rotated crops. Until these residues are identified, the confined crop rotation data requirement itself remains unsatisfied. Residues were not identified for RCB either as stated in their review of April 25, 1988, #3142,3143 and EAB cannot accept the registrant's claim that these residues are not avermectin, are non-toxic, etc., without scientific support. The need for field crop rotation issue is referred to both RCB and TB, since EAB needs to know the identity of the accumulated residues and their toxicity and what level of detection are being used in setting tolerances.

Field Dissipation and leaching data:

The field dissipation studies conducted by the registrant did not provide EAB with sufficient data to indicate that avermectin will not leach. Although EAB does not claim that avermectin is a leacher, the burden of proving that avermectin is not a leacher is the responsibility of the registrant. The studies conducted to depths of 4-6 inches were not conducted to sufficient depths to determine the extent of leaching. The registrant claims that avermectin dissipates rapidly in the top soil. This dissipation is due primarily to photodegradation. What would happen if it rained immediately after application? The studies did not simulate worse case leaching situation, since irrigation/rainfall were not applied immediately after application. EAB would like to be assured that neither avermectin nor its photoproducts will leach through the soil profile. EAB has to be concerned with the mobility of the photoproducts unless they will be notified by HED management to do otherwise.

The laboratory leaching data were inconclusive. Movement of residues through a silt loam soil column are now attributed by the registrant to channeling.

EAB cannot approve any registration of avermectin without being convinced that avermectin does not pose risks to ground water. EAB guidelines require that field dissipation studies be conducted under actual use conditions and simulating worse case situations for each registered use. The registrant conducted field studies to depths of 4 and 6 inches convinced by their laboratory studies that avermectin residues will not leach beyond 4 and 6 inches depths. Field studies protocols for registration on celery submitted to EAB (see EAB review of Jun. 23, 1988, EAB # 80078) plan to address leaching to depths of 0-6, 6-12, 12-24, and 24-26 inches. The choice of a sand or sandy loam soil with <1.5% organic material content is also good. The study will be conducted 0.15 1b ai/gal emulsifiable concentrate, 1.8% w/v at 0.02 1b ai/acre which is the maximum application rate.

EAB might be able to waive additional field studies on cotton and citrus when the field dissipation data on celery become available. Also, the registrant should be able to better convince EAB to do without field leaching data to 24 and 36 inches on cotton and citrus, by providing good, reliable soil column leaching data on avermectin and its photoproducts. Soil columns leaching data on sandy and silt loam soils are recommended.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

The request to waive additional field leaching studies for citrus and cotton registration will be considered when other field dissipation studies, such as on celery become available. Also, the registrant should support the argument to waive additional field leaching studies on citrus and cotton with additional soil column leaching data on radiolabeled avermectin and its photo products on sandy and silt loam soils.

EAB cannot concur with any registration of avermectin until it is fully convinced that avermectin and its degradates do not pose risks to ground water.

Normally, field crop rotation data are required by EAB whenever accumulation occurs in the confined crops. However, EAB would like to know what levels of residues of avermectin and its unidentified degradates would be considered significant before requesting the field crop rotation data. This issue should be referred to both RCB and TB. A decision should be made to whether an analytical method with a sensitivity level of 5 ppb for avermectin is adequate and whether field crop rotation are warranted based on the confined crop rotation accumulation of 10 ppb (see attached data). The registrant must identify the accumulated residues to satisfy the confined crop rotation data requirement.

9. BACKGROUND:

See EAB review of Sept 10, 1987 (EAB # 70292). A label was not enclosed with this submission.

- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR STUDIES: N/A.
- 11. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER: No additional data from this review.
- 12. CBI APPENDIX: None.